IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

RON	NIE RE	EESE,		Court File No.: 4:21-CV-3282-JMG-CRZ		
			Plaintiff,			
	VS.			RULE 26(f) REPORT		
DEE	RE & C	OMPA	NY,			
			Defendant.			
Confe			g attorneys conferred to above-captioned case:	prepare the Report of Parties' Planning		
	On behalf of Plaintiff, Michelle D. Hurley, Yost & Baill, LLP, 220 South 6 th Stre Suite 2050, Minneapolis, MN 55402					
	On behalf of Defendant, Rex A. Rezac and Shay P. Talbitzer, Fraser Stryker PC LLO, 500 Energy Plaza, 409 South 17th Street, Omaha, NE 68102					
	The parties discussed the case and jointly make the following report:1					
l.	INITIA	AL MA	ITERS:			
	A. <u>Jurisdiction and Venue</u> : As to the defendant(s) (mark all boxes that ma					
			Jurisdiction is contested b	ecause		
			Venue is contested becau	se		
		\boxtimes	Neither jurisdiction nor ver	nue are contested.		

If the case alleges an action for forfeiture, complete only sections IV: Settlement; V. Consent to Final Resolution by a Magistrate Judge; and VI: Case Progression.

¹ Counsel are advised to use caution in filing this report as well as other documents so there is no disclosure of information required by the E-Government Act of 2002 to be kept non-public, such as addresses, phone numbers, social security numbers, etc. If such identifiers are required to be disclosed to opposing parties, you may wish to file redacted versions for the public court file and serve opposing parties with unredacted versions. See NECivR 5.3, available on the court's Website at www.ned.uscourts.gov.

B.	<u>Immur</u>	nity: A	s to the defendant(s) (mark all boxes that may apply).		
		An im	munity defense has been raised by a defendant.		
			munity defense will be raised, such defense to be raised on or e Click here to enter a date		
	\boxtimes	No im	munity defense has or will be raised in this case.		
C.	C. Either jurisdiction or venue is being challenged, or a defense of imm has or will be raised, and:				
	\boxtimes	Not ap	oplicable.		
		The parties agree that discovery and case progression can beg before the jurisdiction, venue, and/or immunity issues are decided			
		•	Any or all parties believe that case progression and discovery shoul be stayed pending a ruling on those issues, and		
			before any motion(s) to resolve jurisdiction, venue, and/or immunity issues can be filed, initial discovery limited to those issues will be necessary, and such discovery can be completed by: Click here to enter a date Explain:		
			a dispute exists as to whether and to what extent discovery is needed to resolve jurisdiction, venue, and/or immunity issues. A conference with the court is requested.		
			motion(s) to resolve jurisdiction, venue, and/or immunity issues can be filed on or before Click here to enter a date		

II. CLAIMS AND DEFENSES:

A. <u>Claims</u>:² Provide a brief statement of the alleged facts and a succinct summary of the alleged federal or state theories of recovery, citing any relevant statutes which provide the basis for any statutory claims. You do not need to list the elements of each claim.

 $^{^2}$ The category "Claims" includes any claims raised by any party, including not only those raised by the party(s) who filed the lawsuit, but any crossclaims, counterclaims, or third-party claims raised in the operative pleadings.

Plaintiff Ronnie Reese has alleged a breach of express warranty by: 1) providing an unreasonably dangerous machine; 2) failing to properly respond to Reese's warranty claim in a timely manner; and 3) imposing new terms once warranty coverage was sought.

While under warranty, Reese's John Deere combine started on fire after less than 5 hours of proper use, due to its defective manufacture and/or design. Defendant negligently manufactured and/or designed certain idler pulleys and bearings that failed causing the fire. Defendant further breached its warranty by failing to respond to Reese's warranty claim in a reasonable time frame and for attempting to impose new terms on Reese once warranty coverage was sought.

- B. <u>Defenses</u>: List each alleged affirmative defense to the claims, and for any defenses based on a statute, cite the relevant statute.
 - 1. The Complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.
 - 2. Plaintiff's manufacturing defect claim fails because the Subject Combine was not defective in its manufacture.
 - 3. Plaintiff's design defect claim fails because Deere's limited written express warranty does not cover defects in design.
 - 4. Deere affirmatively alleges that the negligence of Plaintiff or his employees or agents were the sole proximate cause or a concurring proximate cause of the occurrence alleged in the Complaint and any damages sustained as a result thereof.
 - 5. Deere affirmatively alleges that the negligence of persons or entities other than Deere were the sole proximate cause or a concurring proximate cause of the occurrence alleged in the Complaint and any damages sustained as a result thereof.
 - 6. Deere affirmatively alleges that any claim related to Deere's limited written express warranty is barred by the terms of that warranty.
 - 7. Deere affirmatively alleges that Plaintiff failed to mitigate damages.
 - 8. Deere alleges that Plaintiff failed to fulfill all conditions precedent.
 - 9. Deere reserves the right to assert such other defenses, including additional affirmative defenses, as may become apparent throughout the course of discovery.

³ The category "Defenses" includes any defenses raised in any pleading filed in response to the operative complaint, any crossclaims, counterclaims, or third-party claims.

III.

		F RESOLUTION : Please indicate below how the parties anticipate will be resolved.
	<u>Admir</u>	nistrative record review:
	\boxtimes	A party will request discovery.
		A party will not request discovery. Note: If no party is requesting discovery, the parties need not complete the Section VI: Case Progression portion of this report. Instead, contact the assigned magistrate judge to schedule a conference for entering an administrative review scheduling order.
		A dispute exists as to whether and to what extent discovery is needed. The parties need not complete the Section VI: Case Progression portion of this report at this time. Instead, contact the assigned magistrate judge to set a case progression conference.
	Cross	-motions for summary judgment and/or resolution on stipulated facts:
	\boxtimes	A party will request discovery.
		A party will not request discovery. The parties' cross-motions for summary judgment will be filed on or before Click here to enter a date Note: If no party is requesting discovery, the parties need not complete the Section VI: Case Progression portion of this report.
		A dispute exists as to whether and to what extent discovery is needed. The parties need not complete the Section VI: Case Progression portion of this report at this time. Instead, contact the assigned magistrate judge to set a case progression conference.
\boxtimes	Trial:	
		No party has timely demanded a jury trial.
		A party has timely demanded a jury trial and does not anticipate waiving that demand, and the parties agree that all or part of the claims in this case must be tried to a jury.
		A party has demanded a jury trial, and the parties disagree on whether trial by jury is available for all or part of this case. A motion to strike the jury demand will be filed no later than: Click here to enter a date

IV.

The party who previously demanded a jury trial now wishes to waive

		that d	ight. Any other party who will now demand a jury trial will file emand within 14 days of the filing of this report, in the absence ich jury trial will be deemed to have been waived.	
SET	TLEME	NT:		
Cour	nsel sta	ite (mar	k all boxes that may apply):	
\boxtimes	To da	ate, the	re have been no efforts taken to resolve this dispute.	
	Effor	ts have	been taken to resolve this dispute	
		prior t	to filing this lawsuit. Explain:	
			filing this lawsuit, but before the filing of this report. in:	
	Counsel have discussed the court's Mediation Plan and its possible application in this case with their clients and opposing counsel, and:			
	\boxtimes	It is a	greed:	
			Mediation is appropriate at this time, and pending the outcome of those efforts,	
			□ case progression should be stayed.	
			□ case progression should not be stayed.	
			Mediation may be appropriate in the future. Please explain when you believe mediation may be useful: At or near the completion of discovery.	
			Mediation will not be appropriate. Explain:	
			Counsel believe that with further efforts in the future, the case can be settled, and they will be prepared to discuss settlement, or again discuss settlement, by Click here to enter a date	
		At lea	ast one party is not interested in exploring options for settling ase.	

V. CONSENT TO FINAL RESOLUTION BY A MAGISTRATE JUDGE:

As explained more fully in the Civil Case Management Practices, in accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(c) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 73, the parties in this case may voluntarily consent to have a United States Magistrate Judge conduct all further proceedings in the case, including the trial, and order the entry of final judgment. The consent must be unanimous, and any appeal must be taken to the United States Court of Appeals. If the parties do not presently consent, they may do so later. Absent consent, the case will remain with the assigned United States District Judge or, if not previously assigned to a District Judge, it will be randomly assigned to a District Judge.

do so Distric	later. <i>I</i> t Judg	Absent consent, the case will remain with the assigned United States or, if not previously assigned to a District Judge, it will be randomly a District Judge.			
	All parties hereby voluntarily consent to have the United States Magistrate Judge conduct all further proceedings in this case including the trial and entry of final judgment.				
\boxtimes	At lea	st one party does not currently consent.			
CASE	PROC	BRESSION:			
A.	A. Initial mandatory disclosures required by Rule 26(a)(1).				
		Have been completed.			
	\boxtimes	Will be completed by March 28, 2022.			
B.	Motions to amend the pleadings or to add parties.				
		A plaintiff Choose an item. anticipate a need to amend pleadings of add parties. Motions to amend pleadings or add parties will be filed by Plaintiff(s) on or before Click here to enter a date. .			
		A defendant does anticipate a need to amend pleadings or add parties. Motions to amend pleadings or add parties will be filed by Defendant (s) on or before May 6, 2022 .			
	If mor	e than 90 days are needed, explain why:			

C. Discovery.

VI.

- 1) As to written discovery under Rules 33, 34, 36, and 45:
 - a. The parties have discussed currently anticipated number of interrogatories, document production requests, and requests for admissions. Based on those discussions:

			The parties do not anticipate any disputes over the number of discovery requests served.	
			The parties believe a dispute may arise over the number of (mark all boxes that may apply):	
			☐ Interrogatories.☐ Requests for Production.☐ Requests for Admission.	
			If the parties anticipate a possible dispute over the number of written discovery requests, when completing Section VII below, indicate when a conference with the court may be useful to avert or resolve that dispute.	
	b.	Writte	en discovery will be completed ⁴ by October 24, 2022 .	
2)	As to	expert	disclosures as required under Rule 26(a)(2):	
		The p	parties do not anticipate calling experts to testify at trial.	
	\boxtimes	The parties anticipate calling experts to testify at trial, and		
		a.	Counsel agree to at least <u>identify</u> such experts, by name, address, and profession (i.e., without the full reports required by Rule 26(a)(2)), the parties have chosen to eliminate this step and propose only an expert disclosure deadline. ⁵	
		b.	Expert <u>reports</u> shall be served by:	
			Plaintiff: August 23, 2022 ⁶	
			Defendant: October 21, 2022	

⁴ "Completed" means the discovery answers or responses to written discovery have been served. As such, written discovery must be served sufficiently in advance of the discovery completion deadline to afford the responding party the time permitted under the discovery rules to answer or respond.

⁵ **Note**: The parties may choose to eliminate this expert identification step and propose only an expert disclosure deadline. The parties may agree on separate dates for the plaintiff(s) and the defendant(s).

⁶ Note: The parties may agree on separate dates for the plaintiff(s) and the defendant(s), and they may include rebuttal expert deadlines.

			Rebuttal:	November 7, 2022		
		C.		exclude expert testimony on <i>Daubert</i> and unds will be filed by February 24, 2023 .		
3)	As to	deposi	tion testimon	y under Rules 30 and 45:		
	a.			mber of depositions that may be taken by group and the defendants as a group is 10.		
	b.	All de	positions			
		\boxtimes	will be limite	ed by Rule 30(d)(1).		
			will be limi	ted by Rule 30(d)(1), except as follows:		
	C.			gardless of whether they are intended to be e completed by December 15, 2022 .		
4)	Prote	ctive O	Order:			
			rties anticipate that a protective order will be needed to lete the exchange of discovery, and			
			standard	hereby move the court to enter the court's protective order (see, Civil Case nt website page,		
				the court's standard Attorneys' Eyes Only sions.		
			perm	the court's standard HIPAA language nitting release of Protected Health mation.		
			•	hereby move the court to enter the rotective order attached to this report.		
			entry of a	will jointly move, or a party will move for protective order, emailing a copy of the protective order in Word format to the		

		chambers of the magistrate judge assigned to the case. ⁷				
		At least one party believes a protective order will not be necessary in this case.				
5)	the C discus discus	k Product and Privileged Information: The parties have reviewed Civil Case Management Practices , including those provisions ussing discovery of Privileged Information , and they have ussed whether certain categories of documents, are sumptively privileged.				
		The parties agree that the following categories of documents are presumptively privileged and need not be listed on a privilege log:				
		☐ Documents between legal counsel and clients created on or after Click here to enter a date.				
		Documents maintained by consulting or testifying experts created on or after Click here to enter a date. .				
		☐ The following documents:				
		Counsel have discussed the discovery of privileged information, but they have not agreed on what documents are presumptively privileged.				
		If the parties anticipate a possible dispute over Work Product and Privileged Information discovery, when completing Section VII below, indicate when a conference with the court may be useful to avert or resolve that dispute.				
6)	the C	ronically Stored Information (ESI): The parties have reviewed Civil Case Management Practices, including those provisions ssing discovery of ESI and,				
		the parties do not anticipate a dispute over preservation, scope, and production of ESI.				

⁷ If a dispute exists over the need for a protective order, or the content of that order, the parties shall confer in good faith and if they cannot resolve the issue without court intervention, they shall schedule a conference call with the magistrate judge assigned to the case before engaging in written motion practice.

		☐ the parties anticipate a dispute regarding the preservation, scope, and production of ESI.		
		If the parties anticipate a possible dispute over ESI, when completing Section VII below, indicate when a conference with the court may be useful to avert or resolve that dispute.		
	7)	Other special discovery provisions agreed to by the parties include:		
D.	Dispo	ositive Motions.		
		The parties do not anticipate filing motions to dismiss, for judgment on the pleadings, or for summary judgment as to any claims and/or defenses.		
		A party anticipates filing a motion to dismiss, and/or for judgment on the pleadings, and/or or for summary judgment		
		a. as to the following claims and/or defenses: ⁸ Claims falling outside of Deere's limited express warranty, including claims for defects in design;		
		b. such motions to be filed on or before February 24, 2023 .		
E.	Other matters to which the parties stipulate and/or which the court should know or consider:			
F.	This case will be ready for trial before the court by: April 28, 2023.			
G.	The estimated length of trial is 5 days.			
CON	FEREN	ICING WITH THE COURT:		
A.	Initial	Case Conference:		
		At least one party requests a conference with the court before the court enters a final case progression order for this lawsuit.		
		All parties agree that the court may enter a final case progression order for this lawsuit without first conferring with the parties.		

VII.

⁸ As to forfeiture actions, dispositive motions on any "claims and/or defenses" include any motions to suppress.

At least one party believes a sourt conference with the parties				
At least one party believes a court conference with the parties be helpful (e.g., to assist with averting or resolving a dispute written discovery, ESI, or privilege/work product discovery; followervice of mandatory disclosures; after completing written discoverded.), and requests a conference be set in: (month/year).	over wing			
The parties do not currently anticipate that a court conference assist with case progression, and they will contact the assi magistrate judge to schedule a conference if a problem arises.				
Reminder: By signing this document, counsel and any self-represented paracknowledge that they have reviewed the Civil Case Manager Practices, including those provisions discussing discovery Electronically Stored Information and Privileged Information.	ment			
Dated: February 10, 2022				
/s/ Michelle D. Hurley /s/ Rex A. Rezac with permission	1			
Michelle D. Hurley #26510 YOST & BAILL, LLP 2050 U.S. Bank Plaza South 220 South Sixth Street Minneapolis, MN 55402 612.338.6000 - telephone 612.344.1689 - facsimile mhurley@yostbaill.com Rex A. Rezac #17787 Shay P. Talbitzer #51022 FRASER STRYKER PC LLO 500 Energy Plaza 409 South 17th Street Omaha, NE 68102 402.341.6000 - telephone 402.341.8290 - facsimile rrezac@fraserstryker.com stalbitzer@fraserstryker.com				
Plaintiff's Counsel Defendant's Counsel				
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE				
I hereby certify that on <u>February 10, 2022</u> , I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send notification of such filing to the following: <u>Rex A. Rezac and Shay P. Talbitzer</u> , and I hereby certify that I have mailed by United States Postal Service the document to the following non CM/ECF participants:				
s/ <u>Michelle D. Hurley</u>				
(Rev. 6/11/2	2020)			